

APPROVED  
2/20/14

Village of Kinderhook  
Historic Preservation Commission  
Regular Meeting on January 9, 2014

Present: R. Piwonka, Chairperson; P. Altman, K. Neilson, T. Husband, R. Blackburn and R. Puckett, Trustee Liaison

Absent: Glenn Smith, Code Enforcement Officer

Also Present: A. Chase, C. Chase, and P. Calcagno.

Minutes: K. Neilson made the motion to accept the minutes of November 14, 2013 and December 12, 2013, with changes, seconded by T. Husband all in favor.

Funds Available: \$1,665.08

R. Piwonka called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

**5-7 Hudson Street (Building 1)/PJC Development-Chase/Sign-Ramp-Windows/Chimney-Exhaust Partition,Tax Map # 43.20-2-31**

Application

A. Chase submitted \$10.00 for the review of the Certificate of Appropriateness. Mr. Chase stated that he does have more items to be added to his application.

**REVIEW**

Mr. Chase stated that the contractor was still working on the plans for the ramp, chimney and exhaust partition and they were not ready to discuss those items. The windows and the signs they were ready to discuss. Mr. Calcagno was bringing the window with him, but had not arrived as the meeting started. Previously Mr. Calcagno said he was going to repair the windows.

The Commission discussed the signs and reviewed the sign that they would preferred on the side of the building. P. Altman suggested a plain bracket for the overhead sign at the front of the building and the Chases were in agreement. R. Blackburn reviewed the signs and the script and said he preferred the bracket that was presented on the application. The Chases said that they would be back next month and will have done research and will show two or three brackets. C. Chase said that they will not hang the sign until September. R. Piwonka discussed that looking at the dimensions of the sign did not

APPROVED

2/20/14

seem accurate in the picture shown. The Chases said that the picture is not to scale. R. Piwonka said that the Commission needs an image of the sign to scale. R. Blackburn said he would help to correct the sign to scale. Mr. Chase noted that it is important to note that the sign will be to code and will be ten feet off the sidewalk. R. Piwonka stated that the Commission needs in writing the materials to be used for the sign and it needs to be part of the application. The Chases said the sign will be pine. A picture was added to the application with the dimensions. Also, having it ten feet off the ground to meet Code will also have to be added. The Chases have checked the sign information with G. Smith.

### Summary of Findings

The Commission discussed whether to approve but decided to wait and have R. Blackburn correct it and make it to scale.

### Workshop

#### Windows

Mr. P. Calcagno brought a window to the meeting. A material sheet is needed. The window can be changed to two over one. Mr. Calcagno stated that Russell Sage decided to use this window as a historical window. All the windows will be the same size. The color inside and out will be one color to look more uniform. It is a vinyl window. Mr. Calcagno looked at other windows such as wood and stated it would be a problem every three years. He also stated that all the windows on the post office side and on the back side were all rotted. There are probably 7 windows that are rotted from the inside. He is not changing any windows in the front. He stated that wood would cost him double the price of the vinyl. The Commission stated they thought he could get a wood window at a competitive price. R. Blackburn stated that it is a structure that should have its characteristic windows which are wood. They can be protected by having storm glass over them. Originally there were true divided windows and what is there now is insulated glass. A look at the archive file was made. Looking at the pictures showed that the windows in the archive file had been replaced since 1972. R. Blackburn consulted the guidelines for the Historic Commission and reviewed; particularly Page 3-10. The commission thought that he could replace the sealed windows. Mr. Calcagno said he would repair the windows. Because the windows were not part of the original application, it was agreed that it would be considered a workshop. It was stated that he should check with G. Smith regarding the windows.

### OTHER MATTERS

#### **6 Broad Street**

The Commission then reviewed the work being done at 6 Broad Street with Mr. Calcagno. He stated that according to code the concrete must be out of the ground eight inches. The difference between the front of the property and the back of the property is 3 feet which is the same height as 2 and 8 Broad Street in the back. He stated that he

APPROVED

2/20/14

thought there would be one more step than the original design. The front of the building is not the finished product. An architect will be taking the measurement and he has a company in Illinois to do the mill work. The doors will be double doors but will be 36" wide each which is required by Code. The building is considered a new building in an historic district. A suggestion was made to reproduce the front doors as one door. It needs to be a out swing door. Mr. Calcagno said that he is not planning to do anything in the front without going to the Historic Preservation Commission.

### **Solar Guidelines**

T. Husband will review and work on the guidelines.

Next Meeting: February 13, 2014.

Adjournment: T. Husband made the motion to adjourn; seconded by Ruth Piwonka, all in favor.

Submitted by,

Maureen L. Linzi  
Secretary